

Low Incidence Outreach 702 W. Kalamazoo St. Lansing, MI 48915 www.mdelio.org



f facebook.com/mdelio.social



Educational Impact Matrix for Students Who Are Deaf or Hard of Hearing

Updated 08.31.2022

# **Table of Contents**

## Educational Impact Matrix for Students who are Deaf or Hard of Hearing

| Preface   | 01                               |
|---|----------------------------------|
| Introduction  | 01                               |
| Instructions for Use  | 01                               |
| Acknowledgments   | 02                               |
| References  | 02                               |
| Resources   | 02                               |
|   |                                  |
| Student Profile and Contributing Factors  |                                  |
| Factors for Consideration   | 04                               |
| Factors for Consideration   | 04                               |
| Factors for Consideration   | 04                               |
| Factors for Consideration<br>Audiological Factors   | 04<br>04<br>05<br>06             |
| Factors for Consideration I. Audiological Factors II. Language/Vocabulary III. Functional Listening Skills IV. Use of Amplification Devices | 04<br>04<br>05<br>06<br>07       |
| Factors for Consideration<br>Audiological Factors   | 04<br>04<br>05<br>06<br>07<br>08 |

## Preface

#### Introduction

In 2010, a small committee formed, supported by the Michigan Department of Education–Low Incidence Outreach (MDE-LIO). The committee worked to revise an existing document by Oakland Schools, titled *The Severity Rating Scale for the Hearing Impaired*. The revised form was piloted between January and June 2011. Feedback and data were collected and analyzed to produce *The Educational Impact Matrix for Students Who Are Deaf or Hard of Hearing* in October 2011. The current version is reformatted and includes some updated information.

This *Educational Impact Matrix* is an optional resource designed for use with students who are Deaf or Hard of Hearing (DHH) and attend classes in the general education setting. Use this document to assist school personnel and parents in discussing student needs. This is a data-collection tool for guiding discussions and is not intended as a determiner of service. Service determination is the responsibility of the individualized education program (IEP) team.

#### Instructions for Use

The *Educational Impact Matrix* should be completed by a teacher or teacher consultant with the DHH endorsement. If such personnel is not available, the Matrix should be completed by a special education teacher, or other specialist who has knowledge of the suspected disability. (See *Michigan Administrative Rules for Special Education*, Rule 340.1701b.)

"Notes" sections are available to list data collection sources or additional information regarding the specific factors for consideration.

#### Part A: Factors for Consideration

Six factors for consideration (pages 4-9), and their definitions, are listed in bold type. Collect data and check boxes for all statements that apply. If several boxes are checked within the same factor, add the value assigned to each box and divide by the number of boxes checked. Record the points in the right column. See the examples below for assistance.

Example 1: In the Audiological Factors section, a student has a unilateral hearing loss (0 points), occasional middle ear problems (2 points), and aided speech discrimination of 75% (3 points). Check three boxes. Add the values (0+2+3=5) and divide by 3. The student would score 1.7 for Audiological Factors.

Example 2: In the Use of Amplification Devices section, a student has been identified and amplified within the past 6 months (3 points), needs regular assistance with use and care (3 points), and uses amplification at home and school (0 points). Check three boxes. Add the values (3+3+0=6) and divide by 3. The student would score 2 for the Use of Amplification Devices section.

### Part B: Contributing Factors (optional)

This section provides an option for teams to consider other influential data that may exist. Teams may add or subtract .5 for any of the contributing factors listed. If no contributing factors exist, teams may leave this area blank.

Examples: If a student has a history of excellent attendance, the team could subtract .5. If a student has a history of poor attendance, the team could add .5. If a student experienced a recent change in program, the team could add or subtract .5, depending on how that change impacted the student. Use the "Other" box to capture additional factors to consider for a student's profile. Add or subtract the numbers to obtain a total. (A total of plus or minus 2.5 is possible from this section.)

Add the sums from Part A and B to reach the total Educational Impact Score. This total score may assist teams in discussing student needs and potential support. Compare the total Educational Impact Score with the Impact Score ranges and corresponding Guideline for Considering Range of Service. Each student has unique needs. Teams should NOT use this document as a determination of service, but as information to consider.

#### Acknowledgments

Thanks to DHH professionals at Oakland Schools and Midland Educational Service Agency (ESA), as well as Marianne Titler, Tammy Kamran, Suzanne Raschke, Shannon Williams, and Nancy Mosher for their work on this document.

#### References

- Oakland Schools Intermediate School District *Severity Rating Scale for the Hearing Impaired* (no longer in use)
- Colorado Individualized Profile for DHH (no longer available)
- Montgomery County Intermediate Unit 23, Pennsylvania
- Minnesota Department of Education Resources for Assessment of Students Who Are Deaf and Hard of Hearing, 2008
- Michigan Early On Child Outcomes Handbook, 2009
- Michigan Administrative Rules for Special Education (MARSE)

#### Resources

- Assessment Resource Guide for Students Who Are Deaf or Hard of Hearing [PDF] (bit.ly/3dYjpDm)
- <u>Wyoming Severity Rating Scale for Students with Significant DHH Support Needs [PDF]</u>, 2018 (bit.ly/3PMmjGo)
- Colorado Communication Rating Scales [PDF], 2010 (bit.ly/3crpq91)
- Michigan Communication Plan for Students Who Are Deaf or Hard of Hearing [PDF], 2021 (bit.ly/3mwJUgN)

## **Student Profile**

| A. Factors for Consideration (Matrix)  | Points |
|--|--------|
| I. Audiological Factors                |        |
| II. Language/Vocabulary                |        |
| III. Functional Listening Skills       |        |
| IV. Use of Amplification Devices       |        |
| V. Academic/Vocational Performance     |        |
| VI. Personal Adjustment and Transition |        |
| Total Points A                         |        |

| B. Contributing Factors (Optional) | +/5 Points |
|------------------------------------|------------|
| Student Cooperation                |            |
| Attendance                         |            |
| Change in Program                  |            |
| Challenging Condition              |            |
| Other                              |            |
| Total Points B                     |            |

| Educational Impact Score         | Total |
|----------------------------------|-------|
| Total A +/- Total B (Optional) = |       |

| Student Name:                               |              | Date: |
|---|--------------|-------|
| Date of Birth:                              | Eligibility: |       |
| Age:  | Grade:       |       |
| School:                                     |              |       |
| District:                                   |              |       |
| Educational Team Member(s) Completing Form: |              |       |
| Notes:                                      |              |       |
|   |              |       |
|   |              |       |
|   |              |       |
|   |              |       |
|   |              |       |

| Total | Impact Score | Guideline for Considering Range of Service |
|-------|--------------|--|
|       | 0-8          | 0-14 minutes/week                          |
|       | 9-16         | 9-20 minutes/week                          |
|       | 17-24        | 21-37 minutes/week                         |
|       | 25-32        | 35-50 minutes/week                         |

When calculating the total Educational Impact Score, round up to the next integer.

This is NOT to suggest that all students must be seen weekly. This is only a guideline for the individualized education program (IEP) team to consider.

# **Factors for Consideration**

## I. Audiological Factors

Audiological factors (medical) include the student's type and level of hearing as well as listening discrimination scores, as reported by an audiologist and/or an otolaryngologist/otologist.

| 0 Points   | 2 Points   | 3 Points   | 4 Points  | Points (0-4) |
|--|--|--|---|--------------|
| Bilateral slight to mild hearing loss: 16-40 dB  | Bilateral moderate hearing<br>loss: 41-55 dB   | Bilateral moderately severe<br>hearing loss: 56-70 dB  | Bilateral severe to profound<br>hearing loss: above 70 dB   |              |
| <ul> <li>Unilateral hearing loss</li> <li>Speech discrimination of 85% or above without amplification</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Occasional middle ear problems</li> <li>Aided speech discrimination 85% or above</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Fluctuating hearing loss</li> <li>Aided speech discrimination<br/>70-84%</li> <li>Frequent, manageable middle<br/>ear problems</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>History of progressive hearing loss</li> <li>Aided speech discrimination less than 70%</li> <li>Chronic middle ear problems; difficult to manage</li> <li>Auditory neuropathy</li> </ul> |              |

## II. Language/Vocabulary

Language/vocabulary includes the level of language and vocabulary skills acquired as measured by standardized assessments and/or English Language Arts Core Standards. It also includes student's use of language for interaction with teachers and peers.

| 0 Points  | 4 Points  | 6 Points   | 8 Points   | Points (0-8) |
|---|---|--|--|--------------|
| At or above age/grade level<br>on standardized assessment   | One year/grade level below<br>on standardized assessments   | Two years/grade levels below<br>on standardized assessments  | Three years/grade levels<br>below on standardized<br>assessments   |              |
| <ul> <li>English Language Arts (ELA) core standards for Reading, Writing, Listening, Speaking, and Language scores more than or equal to 80% on age/ grade level through 5th grade conventions</li> <li>Participates, initiates, and</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>English Language Arts (ELA)<br/>core standards for Reading,<br/>Writing, Listening, Speaking,<br/>and Language scores 70-79%<br/>on age/grade level through<br/>5th grade conventions</li> <li>Participates in classroom and<br/>social interactions (without</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>English Language Arts (ELA) core standards for Reading, Writing, Listening, Speaking, and Language scores 60-69% on age/grade level through 5th grade conventions</li> <li>Participates in classroom and/ or social interactions (with</li> </ul> | English Language Arts (ELA)<br>core standards for Reading,<br>Writing, Listening, Speaking,<br>and Language scores less<br>than or equal to 59% on age/<br>grade level through 5th grade<br>conventions. |              |
| sustains classroom and social interactions  | prompts)  | prompts)   | Rarely participates in<br>classroom and/or social<br>interactions (with or without<br>prompts)   |              |



## III. Functional Listening Skills

Functional listening skills includes the student's ability to use listening skills in a variety of settings.

| 0 Points   | 4 Points   | 6 Points  | 8 Points   | Points (0-8 |
|--|--|---|--|-------------|
| <ul> <li>Comprehends connected speech</li> <li>Comprehends concepts and vocabulary</li> <li>Gains information</li> <li>Comprehends conversational topics easily</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Comprehends class content given cues about topic</li> <li>Comprehends classroom vocabulary with support</li> <li>Comprehends the majority of instruction and class content when provided in small groups with minimal background noise</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Identifies and responds<br/>appropriately to familiar words<br/>and phrases</li> <li>Responds appropriately to<br/>familiar routines</li> <li>Comprehends part of<br/>instruction and class<br/>content when provided in<br/>small groups with minimal<br/>background noise</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Develops auditory skills only within closed sets</li> <li>Comprehends single words and short familiar phrases only when supported with speech reading</li> <li>Detects only environmental sounds</li> <li>Minimal to no residual hearing</li> </ul> |             |

## **IV. Use of Amplification Devices**

Amplification devices may include hearing aids; cochlear implants; remote microphone; frequency modulation (FM), digital modulation (DM), or classroom system; or other hearing assistive technology (HAT).

| 0 Points   | 2 Points  | 3 Points  | 4 Points  | Points (0-4) |
|--|---|---|---|--------------|
| Amplification devices not<br>prescribed  | Amplification devices<br>prescribed   | Declines use of amplification<br>devices  | Does not benefit from<br>amplification devices  |              |
| Identified/amplified more than<br>one year ago   | <ul> <li>Identified and amplified in last</li> <li>6-12 months</li> </ul>                                   | Identified and amplified within<br>last 6 months  | Identified and amplified within<br>last 3 months  |              |
| <ul> <li>Independent use and care</li> <li>Reports functioning status</li> </ul>                       | Needs occasional assistance<br>with use and care  | Needs regular assistance with use and care  | Needs daily assistance with<br>use and care   |              |
| of personal amplification<br>devices and/or hearing<br>assistive technology (HAT)<br>with 90% accuracy | Reports functioning status<br>of personal amplification<br>devices and/or HAT with at<br>least 75% accuracy | Reports functioning status<br>of personal amplification<br>devices and/or HAT with at<br>least 50% accuracy | Reports functioning status<br>of personal amplification<br>devices and/or HAT with at<br>least 20% accuracy |              |
| Uses amplification at home and school  | Uses amplification at school<br>but not at home   | Uses amplification<br>inconsistently at home and/or<br>school   | Uses amplification at school less than 50% of day   |              |



## V. Academic/Vocational Performance

Academic/vocational performance includes the level of academic progress and/or career-related learning as well as the student's need for accommodations or modifications.

| 0 Points   | 2 Points   | 3 Points  | 4 Points   | Points (0-4) |
|--|--|---|--|--------------|
| <ul> <li>Advanced/Proficient on state assessment for ELA/writing</li> <li>State or district testing indicates no interference with educational and/or vocational performance</li> <li>General education teacher reports development of skills necessary to meet 80% of core standards</li> <li>Has no IEP or 504 accommodations</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Partially Proficient on state assessment for ELA/writing</li> <li>State or district testing indicates minimal impact on educational and/or vocational performance</li> <li>General education teacher reports development of skills necessary to meet 70% of core standards</li> <li>Has 504 plan or IEP; may have some content modifications</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Partially Proficient/Not<br/>Proficient on state assessment<br/>for ELA/writing</li> <li>State or district testing<br/>indicates moderate impact on<br/>educational and/or vocational<br/>performance</li> <li>General education teacher<br/>reports development of skills<br/>necessary to meet 60% of<br/>core standards</li> <li>Has IEP; has some content<br/>modifications</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Not Proficient on state<br/>assessment for ELA/<br/>writing or on Alternate State<br/>Assessment</li> <li>State or district testing<br/>indicates significant impact<br/>on educational and/or<br/>vocational performance</li> <li>General education teacher<br/>reports development of skills<br/>necessary to meet 50% of<br/>core standards</li> <li>Has IEP; has significant<br/>content modifications</li> </ul> |              |



## VI. Personal Adjustment and Transition

Personal adjustment/transition includes the level of self-advocacy for technology or accommodations, as well as skills necessary for transitions, including graduation and/or change of levels.

| 0 Points   | 2 Points  | 3 Points   | 4 Points  | Points (0-4) |
|--|---|--|---|--------------|
| Advocates for technology<br>and/or accommodations<br>independently       | Advocates for technology<br>and/or accommodations (with<br>minimal support)                           | <ul> <li>Advocates for technology<br/>and/or accommodations 1-2<br/>times per week (with prompts)</li> </ul> | Does not advocate<br>for technology and/or<br>accommodations (with or<br>without prompts) |              |
| Knows and uses resources for<br>access in familiar and novel<br>settings | Knows and uses resources<br>for access in familiar settings;<br>may need support in novel<br>settings | Knows and uses some<br>resources for access in<br>familiar settings; needs<br>support in novel settings      | Needs support for access<br>in both familiar and novel<br>settings                        |              |

| Notes: |  |  |  |
|--------|--|--|--|
|        |  |  |  |
|        |  |  |  |
|        |  |  |  |
|        |  |  |  |